Dr. Michaelsen has termed the "Eitrichterbluse." Attached to this is the egg-sav or Receptaculum ovorum. The interior of this egg-sac is divided by trabeculæ into many compartments, in the interior of which are eggs in all stages of development surrounded by other germinal cells; the structure in fact is precisely like that which is now known to characterize so many, perhaps all the Eudrilidæ. I could not, however, detect a striated membrane surrounding the ripe ova such as that which I have described in Hyperiodrilus<sup>2</sup>. The "Eitrichterblase" communicates on the one hand with the short and muscular oviduct which opens on to the exterior in the fourteenth segment as usual, and on the other with a delicate tube which ends anteriorly in a swollen oval extremity. This latter sac lodges the ovary, which is thus, as in so many Eudrilids, in direct communication with the efferent apparatus.

It will be observed from the description of the egg-apparatus of the present earthworm, that though generally like that of the more highly developed Eudrilidæ, it differs in detail from that of any other genus. As it has been hitherto customary to mark the genera mainly by the differences in this structure, I feel justified

in making a new genus for this species from Lagos.

4. On the Distribution of Marine Mammals. L. Sclater, M.A., Ph.D., F.R.S., Secretary to the Society.

[Received March 8, 1897.]

# (Plate XXIV.)

#### CONTENTS.

I. Introductory Remarks, p. 349. II. Distribution of Pinnipeds, p. 350.

III. of Sirenians, p. 351. ,,

IV. ,, of Cetaceans, p. 352. V. Division of the Marine Area of the Globe into Sea-regions,

p. 353. VI. The North Atlantic Sea-region, or Arctatlantis, p. 353.

VII. The Mid-Atlantic Sea-region, or Mesatlantis, p. 354.

VIII. The Indian Sea-region, or Indopelagia, p. 355. IX. The North Pacific Sea-region,

or Arctirenia, p. 355. X. The Mid-Pacific Sea-region, or

Mesirenia, p. 356. XI. The South Polar Sea-region, or Notopelagia, p. 356.

XII. Conclusions, p. 357.

## I. Introductory Remarks.

Most of the recent writers on Geographical Distribution have confined their attention to terrestrial mammals, or at any rate have but casually alluded to the marine groups of that Class. On the present occasion I wish to call your attention to some of the

1 W. Michaelsen, "Oligochæten des naturhistorischen Museums in Hamburg," Jb. Hamb. Wiss. Anst. viii.

<sup>2</sup> F. E. Beddard, "On the Structure of two new Genera of Earthworms belonging to the Eudrilidæ and some Remarks on Nemertodrilus," Quart. Journ. Micr. Sci. xxxii. n. s.

principal facts connected with the distribution over the world's surface of the marine or aquatic members of the Class of Mammals.

Aquatic mammals which pass their lives entirely, or for the greater part, in the water are, of course, subject to very different laws of distribution from those of the terrestrial forms. As regards aquatic mammals, land is of course an impassable barrier to their extension, and, subject to restrictions in certain cases, water offers them a free passage. Just the opposite is the case with the terrestrial mammals, to which in most cases land offers a free passage, while seas and rivers restrain the extension of their ranges.

The groups of aquatic mammals that are represented on the earth's surface at the present time are three in number, viz.:—
(1) the suborder of the Carnivora, containing the Seals and their allies, generally called the Pinnipedia, which are semi-aquatic;
(2) the Sirenia, which are mainly aquatic: and (3) the Cetacea, which never leave the water, and are wholly aquatic. We will consider briefly the principal representatives of these three groups, following nearly the arrangement of them employed in Flower and

Lydekker's 'Mammals, living and extinct.'

## II. Distribution of Pinnipeds.

The Pinnipeds, which I will take first, comprise three distinct families—the OTARIIDE, the TRICHECHIDE, and the PHOCIDE. Beginning with the Otariidæ or Eared Seals, commonly known as Sea-lions and Sea-bears, we find the greater number of the species confined to the South Polar Ocean, where they pass most of their time at sea, but, as is well known, resort to the land at certain seasons for breeding purposes. In the Atlantic Ocean, so far as I know, the Eared Seals have never been ascertained to occur further north than the estuary of the La Plata on the American coast, and the vicinity of the Cape on the African coast. But in the Pacific, on the contrary, three distinct species of Otaria are found all over the Arctic portion of that ocean, and there is good evidence of Eared Seals having been met with in the Galapagos, while they still occur on the coasts of Peru and Chili. I think therefore we may assume that Otaria was originally an Antarctic form, but has travelled northwards along the West-American coast and is now firmly established in the North Pacific. In a parallel way in the class of birds, the Albatrosses (Diomedea), which are essentially a group of the Antarctic Seas, are represented by three distinct species in the North Pacific.

The second family of the marine Carnivora, on the other hand, the Walruses (*Trichechida*), are entirely Arctic in their distribution—one species (*Trichechus rosmarus*) being peculiar to the North Atlantic, while a second nearly allied species (*T. obesus*)

takes its place in the Northern Pacific.

The third family of Pinnipeds is more numerous and varied, both in genera and species, than the two preceding and has a more

extended range. The Seals, *Phocidæ*, embracing about nine different generic forms, are most numerous in the Arctic and Antarctic seas, but are also feebly represented in some intermediate localities. Beginning with the North Atlantic, we find several species of *Phoca* inhabiting various parts of this area, and the Grey Seal (*Halichærus*) and the Bladder-Seal (*Cystophora*) exclusively confined to it. In the North Pacific all the four true Seals belong to the genus *Phoca*, and three of them are identical with the North Atlantic species; but when we descend as far south as the Gulf of California on the American coast we meet with a species of Sea-elephant (*Macrorhinus*) which, like *Otaria*, has no doubt penetrated thus far from its ancestral abode in the Antarctic Ocean.

Returning to the Central Atlantic we find two species of Seals inhabiting these waters, both belonging to the same genus *Monachus*. One of these (*M. albiventer*) inhabits the Mediterranean and the adjoining coasts of the Atlantic, while the other (*M. tropicalis*) is in these days restricted to some of the smaller

and less known islands of the West Indies.

The *Phocidæ* of the Antarctic Ocean all belong to genera distinct from the Arctic forms and more nearly allied to *Monachus*, the Seal of the Mid-Atlantic. They are of four species belonging to as many genera: *Ogmorhinus*, *Lobodon*, *Leptonychotes*, and *Ommatophoca*. Besides these the Sea-elephant of the whalers (*Macrorhinus*) is essentially an Antarctic form, though now nearly extinct there, after long persecution by man. But, as already noted, it extends, or has in former days extended, far up the west coast of America, and is still occasionally found on Santa Barbara Island on the coast of California.

## III. Distribution of Sirenians.

Only two forms of Sirenians are at the present time existing on the earth's surface—the Manatee (Manatus) and Dugong (Halicore)—each representing a distinct family of the Order. The Manatee is an inhabitant of the coasts and estuaries of both sides of the middle Atlantic Ocean—one species (Manatus seneyalensis) occurring on the African shores, and another (M. americanus) on the S. American coast and in the Antilles. A third species (M. inunguis), so far as we know at present, is found only in fresh water high up the Amazons.

The Dugong (Halicore) is distributed from East Africa, along the shores of the Indian Ocean and its islands, to North Australia. Three species of this genus have been established—Halicore tabernaculi from the Red Sea, H. dugong from the Indian Ocean, and H. australis from Australia; but it is doubtful how far these

forms are actually distinguishable.

Besides Manatus and Halicore, a third quite distinct form of Sirenian was formerly an inhabitant of the North Pacific. This was Steller's Sea-cow (Rhytina stelleri), by far the largest animal of

the group, which was exterminated by human agency about 1768. Fortunately recent researches in Bering's Island have been successful in supplying specimens of its skeleton for our principal Museums, and Steller, its discoverer, left to posterity a good account of its habits and anatomy.

#### 1V. Distribution of Cetaceans.

Adopting the recognized division of the Cetaceans into two Suborders, Mystacoceti and Odontoceti, according as to whether their mouths are furnished with baleen ('whale-bone') or teeth, we will first consider the True or Whalebone Whales, which consist of a single family Balænidæ, usually divided into five genera: -Balana, Neobalana, Rhachianectes, Megaptera, and Balanoptera. Of these, Balana, Megaptera, and Balanoptera are almost cosmopolitan-species of them, whether distinct or not is at present more or less uncertain, being met with in nearly every part of the Ocean. But Rhachianectes has as yet been ascertained to occur only in the Northern Pacific, and Neobalcena in the South Polar Ocean, so that we have in these cases two well-marked local types to deal with.

The Toothed Wales (Odontoceti) are more diversified than the preceding group, and are usually held to embrace at least four existing families besides several extinct forms. The first family, containing the Physeteridæ or Sperm-Whales, consists of at least six genera (Physeter, Cogia, Hyperoodon, Ziphius, Mesoplodon, and Berardius). Physeter and Cogia are inhabitants of the whole oceanic area between the tropics, extending in certain localities some way beyond them. Hyperoodon is confined to the North Atlantic. Ziphius has an extensive range, and has been found in nearly every part of the Ocean. Mesoplodon is also widely distributed, but is apparently more abundant in the Southern Hemisphere. Berardius, however, so far as we know at present, is restricted to the South Polar Ocean.

The third family of Toothed Whales contains only the Platanistide, or Freshwater Dolphins, which although, in some cases, at the present day entirely fluviatile, must probably have descended from oceanic forms 1. The three known genera are Platanista of the Ganges and Indus, Inia of the river Amazons, and Pontoporia of the river La Plata; the last form making a connecting link between the two preceding genera and the marine Dolphins.

The fourth family of Toothed Whales, containing the Dolphins, Delphinidae, is very numerous in species and embraces at least fifteen or sixteen genera. But in spite of the efforts of Mr. True, who has recently given us an excellent summary of our present knowledge of them 2, both the genera and species of Delphinida are still so imperfectly understood that I cannot say much about

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sir William Flower ("Whales, Past and Present," Proc. Roy. Inst. x. p. 360,

<sup>1883)</sup> rather favours the idea of a freshwater origin of the Cetaceans.

<sup>2</sup> See "A Review of the Family Delphinida," by Frederick W. True: Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus. no. 36 (Washington, U.S., 1889).

their geographical distribution. Most of the forms appear to be very widely distributed, but it may be said generally that Dolphins are most abundant in the inter-tropical seas and less plentiful both to the north and south of them.

There are, however, two forms that are exclusively inhabitants of the North Atlantic. These are the very remarkable Narwhal (Monodon), in which the male is furnished with a single enormous horn-like tusk, and the Beluga or White Whale (Delphinapterus), closely allied to the Narwhal in many points of its general structure. These may be looked upon as quite isolated forms characteristic of the Arctic portion of the Atlantic but not known in the Pacific <sup>1</sup>.

#### V. Division of the Marine Area of the Globe into Sea-regions.

From what has been already said, it will be evident that although many of the Marine Mammals have a wide distribution, others are very definitely localized; and a study of the latter will, I think, enable us to divide the oceanic portion of the globe into six Searegions, corresponding to a certain extent with the six Landregions into which I proposed to separate the terrestrial portion of the globe in 1874, and which were subsequently adopted by Mr. Wallace in his standard work on the Geographical Distribution of Animals. I propose to call these Sea-regions:—

(1) The North-Atlantic Sea-region, or Arctatlantis (ἄοκτυς and ᾿Ατλαντίς = the daughter of Atlas), consisting of the northern

portion of the Atlantic down to about 40° N. lat.

(2) The Mid-Atlantic Sea-Region, or Mesatlantis (μέσως and 'Ατλαντίς), consisting of the middle portion of the Atlantic down

to about the Tropic of Capricorn.

(3) The Indian Sea-region, or Indopelagia ("Ir $\partial$ os and  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \gamma \sigma s$ ), containing the Indian Ocean down to about the same degree of S. lat., and extending from the coast of Africa on the west to Australia and the great Oriental islands on the east.

(4) The North Pacific Sea-region, or Arctirenia (ἄρκτος and εἰρήνη=pax), containing the northern portion of the Pacific

Ocean down to about the Tropic of Cancer.

(5) The Mid-Pacific Sea-region, or Mesirenia ( $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma$ ) and  $\epsilon i_{\mu} \dot{\eta} r \eta$ ), containing the inter-tropical portion of the Pacific Ocean; and finally

(6) The Southern Sea-Region, or Notopelagia ( $v\acute{o}\tau os$  and  $\pi \acute{e}\lambda a\gamma os$ ), containing the whole of the South Polar Ocean all round the globe south of the above-mentioned limits.

We will now proceed to consider shortly the characteristic Mammals of these six Sea-regions.

## VI. The North Atlantic Sea-region, or Arctatlantis.

Amongst the Pinnipeds two well-marked generic forms, the Grey Seal (*Halichærus*) and the Bladder-Seal (*Cystophora*), are exclusively

<sup>1</sup> In a recent letter to 'Science' (1897, p. 843) Dr. Dall has pointed out that this is an error. Both *Monodon* and *Delphinapterus* occur in the North Pacific.—P. L. S., 4. vi. 97.

confined to Arctatlantis. The True Seals (Phoca) and the Walrus (Trichechus) are found in this region and in Arctirenia; and of the former genus three species (P. vitulina, P. grænlandica, and P. barbata) are actually common to both these Sea-regions, while the Walruses (Trichechus rosmarus and T. obesus) of the two Searegions are perhaps somewhat doubtfully distinguishable. It may be easily understood how this has come to pass, because the Seals and Walrus may in the course of time, during unusually mild summers, have extended themselves along the north coast of the American continent into the Northern Pacific. But Arctirenia, as we shall presently show, is markedly distinguishable from Arctatlantis by the presence of Eared Seals (Otaria), which are utterly unknown in the whole of the Atlantic area. Otaria is in fact as regards Arctatlantis what I have called on previous occasions (see P. Z. S. 1882, p. 311) a "lipotype" of Arctatlantis, but what I now propose to designate a "lipomorph." 1

The Sirenians are entirely absent from the North Atlantic and

constitute another lipomorph of that area.

Coming to the Whales, we find the Mystacoccti well represented in the North Atlantic by Balcena, Megaptera, and Balcenoptera; but of these the two latter are almost universally distributed over the ocean, and Balcena recurs again in the North Pacific as well as in more southern latitudes, so that there is no genus of Whalebone

Whales peculiar to Arctatlantis.

Proceeding to the Odontoceti, the case is different. Amongst the Physeteridæ, Hyperoodon is confined to Arctatlantis, and, as already explained, two very well-marked types of the Delphinidæ, Delphinupterus and Monodon, are likewise exclusively denizens of the North Atlantic Ocean. Arctatlantis therefore may be said to be well characterized by the possession of at least five genera of Marine Mammals not found elsewhere, viz. Halicherus, Cystophora, Hyperoodon, Delphinapterus, and Monodon.

## VII. The Mid-Atlantic Sea-region, or Mesatlantis.

Mesatlantis has certainly not so many forms of Marine Mammals confined to its area as Arctatlantis, but there seem to be good grounds for its separation. As we descend towards the tropics the true Seals (*Phocine*), which are constituted to live in colder water, gradually fall off in number, and in Mesatlantis are no longer met with. But in their place we find the genus *Monachus* or Monk-Seal restricted to Mesatlantis, one species (*M. albiventer*) occurring

Ou former occasions I have used the term "lipotype" for a natural group which characterizes a particular locality by its absence. It would, however, perhaps be better to change the term to "lipomorph," because the term "type" and its compounds have been generally employed in reference to the particular specimens of a species upon which original descriptions have been based (cf. Thomas, P, Z. S. 1893, p. 241). In the same way a natural group which characterizes a particular country may be called a "topomorph" ( $\tau \delta \pi os$ , locus, and  $\mu o\rho \phi \eta$ , forma). Thus in Africa Giraffa and Phacochærus would be "topomorphs," and Cervus and Ursus would be "lipomorphs."

in the Mediterranean and on the North African coast, and a second (M. tropicalis) being found in the West Indies. Mesatlantis is likewise the true home of the well-marked Sirenian genus Manatus, one species of which (M. americanus) frequents the coast of

America and another (M. senegalensis) that of Africa.

As regards the Cetaceans, we are not able to say that Mesatlantis, although well-furnished with many generic types of this Order, has any one peculiar to it. We must therefore rest content with assigning two genera of Marine Mammals, Monachus and Manatus, as characteristic forms or topomorphs of the Sea-Mammal-life of Mesatlantis.

## VIII. The Indian Sea-region, or Indopelagia.

The Marine Carnivora, so far as we know, are entirely foreign to Indopelagia, but the Sirenians are well represented by the Dugong (Halicore), which pervades all its northern coasts from North Australia to India and the Red Sea and down the African coast to Lamu. Whether the species of Halicore found at different points within this area are the same or different is still a matter of discussion, but there can be no doubt that Halicore is an exclusive inhabitant of Indopelagia. As regards the Whales of Indopelagia, we know that Physeter, Cogia, and Ziphius, and numerous forms of Delphinidæ occur there, but I am not aware of any Cetacean that is entirely restricted to this Sea-region.

## IX. The North Pacific Sea-region, or Arctirenia.

As was pointed out when speaking of Arctatlantis, Arctirenia has one genns of *Phocidæ* (*Phoca*) in common with the North Atlantic, and three of the species of this genus appear to be actually identical in these two Sea-regions, whilst a fourth *Phoca* (*P. fasciata*) is only found in the North Pacific. The Walrus (*Trichechus*) is again a form of Marine Mammals common to both the great northern Sea-regions. But the feature of Pinnipedian life that absolutely distinguishes Arctirenia from Arctatlantis is the presence in the former of three (if not four) well-marked species of the Eared Seals (*Otariidæ*), which are absolutely unknown in the vast extent of the Atlantic down at least to 30° S. lat.

Arctirenia has unfortunately lost its Sirenian, Steller's Sea-cow (Rhytina stelleri), the largest and finest modern representative of this formerly prevalent group, which since the days of the Pleistocene has greatly diminished in numbers, but I think we may still treat Rhytina as one of the characteristic forms of the Arctirenian Sea-region. The North Pacific is also even at the present day the sole possessor of a remarkable genus of Whalebone Whales which combines the long head and elongate form of Balanoptera with the smooth skin of the throat and absence of the dorsal fin of Balana<sup>2</sup>. This is the Grey Whale, Rhachiancetes glaucus of Cope,

A fine specimen of the Dugong from Lamu (on the east coast of Africa, lat. 2° 50′ S.). obtained by Mr. J. C. Haggard in 1885, is in the British Museum.
 Flower and Lydekker, 'Mammals,' p. 241.

which, in these days, is confined to the North Pacific, and does not range farther south than the 20th parallel in that ocean. At the same time it should be stated that indications have been discovered that a nearly allied form existed in the Atlantic in previous geological ages, though this is by no means certain. Besides Rhachianectes, Balana, Megaptera, and Balanoptera are all represented in the North Pacific, and also many species of Delphinidae of which little is at present known. But Rhytina and Rhachianectes are the only genera of Marine Mammals absolutely confined to Arctirenia.

#### X. The Mid-Pacific Sea-region, or Mesirenia.

The Eared Seals, Otaria, must have necessarily passed through Mesirenia in their passage from south to north, though the only record of their actual presence in the central part of the Pacific is, so far as I know, the recent discovery of them in the Galapagos. It should be stated, however, that Tschudi records the occurrence of two species of Otaria on the islands of the coast of Peru, and that in 1802 Humboldt met with an Eared Seal on the Island of San Lorenzo, in the Bay of Callao, which is only some 12° south of the Equator.

Like Otaria, the Sea-elephant (Macrorhinus) has apparently in former ages travelled up the South American shores and established itself as far north on the coast of California at about 34° N. lat. The Californian Sea-elephant has been discriminated by Gill as a distinct species (Macrorhinus angustirostris), but its differences from the southern form (M. leoninus) seem to be but

trifling.

As regards the Cetaceans of Mesirenia, our information is at present very imperfect, and I have little to say except that species of Megaptera, Balanoptera, Physiter, Cogia, and Ziphius certainly occur there, besides many representatives of the widely spread Delphinidae.

## XI. The Southern Polar Sea-region, or Notopelagia.

The wide ocean which surrounds the Southern Pole on every side, and extends up to 40° S. lat., seems to present, as regards its marine mammals, a nearly homogeneous fauna, which we will now briefly consider. In the first place it contains representatives of four genera of true Phocidæ—Ogmorhinus, Lobodon, Leptonychotes¹, and Ommatophoca, which are peculiar to the southern seas, and are quite distinct from all their northern representatives in the Arctic Ocean. The Sea-elephant, Macrorhinus, is also a denizen of Notopelagia, though, as we have already seen, it has wandered north along the South American coast far into Mesirenia.

Like Macrorhinus, Otaria also, containing the group of Eared

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This generic term, established by Gill in 1872, seems to take precedence of *Pαcilophoca*, proposed by Flower and Lydekker for the same type (*L. weddelli*) in 1891. *Cf.* Allen, North American Pinnipeds, p. 418.

Seals, appears to have been originally an Antarctic group, and the greater number of its species, although now-a-days very much reduced in numbers, are still found in the Southern Ocean. But the *Otariæ* have travelled still further north than *Macrorhinus*, and three, if not four, species are, as already stated, in these days well established inhabitants of Arctirenia.

The Sirenians are absent from Notopelagia, but Cetaceans of every kind are abundant. Besides one or more representatives of the true Whalebone Whale (Balæna), Notopelagia has a smaller representative of the group (Neobalæna) entirely restricted to its area. It has also representatives of Megaptera and Balænoptera, though it is doubtful how far they are even specifically distinct from some of their northern representatives.

Among the Toothed Whales (Odontoceti) we find a large Ziphioid form, Berardius, restricted to the Notopelagian area, while Ziphius and Mesoplodon also occur there. The Dolphins (Delphinidae) are likewise numerous, and present some distinct species, but not, so far as our present knowledge extends, any generic forms that do

not occur elsewhere.

But Notopelagia is sufficiently distinguished from all the five more northern sea-regions by possessing four genera of Seals and two of Cetaceans entirely restricted to its area.

#### XII. Conclusions.

It has therefore, I think, been shown that, for the Geography of Marine Mammals, the Ocean may be conveniently divided into six Sea-regions, which, as marked in the chart now exhibited (Plate XXIV.), are as follows:—

- I. Regio Arctatlantica, characterized by its Seals (*Phocinæ*), of which two genera, *Halichærus* and *Cystophora*, are peculiar, whilst *Phoca* is common to it and Arctirenia; by the absence of Sirenians; and by the possession of three peculiar genera of Cetaceans (*Hyperoodon*, *Delphinapterus*, and *Monodon*).
- II. Regio Mesatlantica, sole possessor of the Monk-Seal, Monachus, amongst the Pinnipeds, and of the Sirenian genus Manatus.
- III. REGIO INDOPELAGICA, characterized by the presence of the Sirenian *Halicore* and by the absence of Pinnipeds.
- IV. Regio Arctirenica, with *Phoca* like the Regio Arctatlantica, but having *Otaria* also; the home of the (now extinct) Sirenian *Rhytina* and of the endemic Cetacean *Rhachianectes*.
- V. REGIO MESIRENICA, without true Seals (*Phocinæ*), but having Otaria and Macrorhinus from the south; no Sirenian known.
- VI. Regio Notopelagica, characterized by four endemic genera of *Phocidæ*, and by the presence of many *Otariæ*; without Sirenians, but with two endemic forms of Cetaceans (*Neobalæna* and *Berardius*).

In conclusion, I will call attention to some of the more remarkable points in the general distribution of the marine Mammals

and to their apparent significance.

In the first place it is evident that the Pacific has much more in common with the Notopelagian region than the Atlantic. Otaria and Macrorhinus, quite unknown in the Atlantic, extend themselves to the northern extremity of the Pacific, the former pervading that ocean up to Bering's Straits, and the latter reaching to the Californian coast. It follows that in former ages there must have been some barrier in the Atlantic which did not exist in the Pacific to stop their progress northwards. The only barrier I can imagine that would have effected this must have been a land uniting S. America and Africa, across which they could not travel. Adopting this hypothesis, we have at the same time an explanation of the presence of the Manatee on both the American and African coasts. The Manatee could hardly live to cross the Atlantic. It is only found close to the coast, where it browses on sea-weeds and other vegetable food in shallow water. How did it travel from America to Africa (or vice versa), unless there were a continuous shore-line between them? The same may be said of the Monk-Seal (Monachus), of which one species lives in the Mediterranean and on the African coast and islands and another in the West Indies. We can hardly believe that these creatures could easily traverse the whole Atlantic. The hypothesis of a former barrier of land between Africa and America, which we know is supported by other facts of distribution 1, would alone explain the difficulty.

On the other hand, in the Pacific we find no such break between the north and south. The aquatic Mammals of Notopelagia have evidently had free access to the whole of the Pacific for a long period

and have well availed themselves of this facility.

Again, while the great Southern Ocean exhibits a considerable uniformity of marine Mammalian life, we see the Northern waters divided into two distinctly recognizable Regions by the interposed masses of land. All these facts, with the one exception of the supposed Atlantic Barrier, would tend in favour of the now generally accepted doctrine that the principal masses of land and water are not of modern origin, but have existed mainly in their present shapes throughout all ages.

#### TOPOMORPHS OF THE SIX SEA-REGIONS.

I. ARCTATLANTIS.

Halichærus.

Cystophora.

Huperoodon.

IV. ARCTIRENIA,
Otaria,
Rhytina,
Rhachianectes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. Wallace, Geogr. Distrib. vol. i. p. 156.

II. MESATLANTIS.

Monachus.

Manatus,

III. INDOPELAGIA.

Halicore.

Platanista.

V. MESIRENIA.

Otaria. Macrorhinus.

Inia. Pontoporia.

VI. NOTOPELAGIA.

Ogmorhinus. Lobodon. Leptonychotes. Ommatophoca. Otaria.

Neobalcena. Berardius.

5. On the Species of Potamochærus, the Bush-Pigs of the Ethiopian Region. By Dr. C. I. FORSYTH MAJOR, C.M.Z.S.

(Plates XXV. & XXVI.)

[Received March 16, 1897.]

A close examination of eight specimens of the Wild Hog of Madagascar, forming part of my collection from that island, has led me to attempt a review of the complete material available of the genus Potamochærus, the results of which I lay before the Society in a very succinct form. I also exhibit two skulls, male and female, of the Madagascar form, and two photographs of the northernmost form, the Abyssinian P. hassama (Heugl.).

It may be well at the outset to state the relation which the African Potamochærus bears to the other Swine. Leaving out of consideration the more aberrant or otherwise further removed African Phacocherus, the Oriental Babyrusa, and the New-World Peccaries (Dicotyles), I limit my remarks mainly to the members of the genus Sus, with which Potomochærus bears closer relationship

than with the genera just mentioned.

Several years ago 1 I tried to show that the numerous species of Sus which had been established could be reduced to four: Sus scrofa; Sus vittatus; Sus verrucosus, of Java, Borneo, Celebes, &c.: and Sus barbatus, of Borneo. In later years numerous excellent papers have been published on the subject by Nehring; besides which not less than 35, partly for the present more or less nominal species, have been introduced by Père Heude<sup>2</sup>, from the

Zool. Anzeiger, 1883, p. 295.
 P.-M. Heude, S.J. "Étude sur les Suilliens," Mémoires concernant l'Hist. Nat. de l'Empire Chinois par des Pères de la Compagnie de Jésus. Chang-Hai, 1888-1894.